

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE
TO: Director of Data Processing		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	
REMARKS: <u>TUBE DX-6</u>		
<p>2060 DD CI D/CSPD - FYE <u>File STAP</u> <u>Return to Erma for file</u></p>		
FROM: [REDACTED] Chief, Management Staff, DDA		
ROOM NO. 7D18	BUILDING HQS	EXTENSION 5226

28 APR 1980

STATINTL

FORM NO. 241
1 FEB 55REPLACES FORM 36-8
WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)

STAP

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP

Date 24 APR 1980

TO: (Name, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/Post)	Initials	Date
1. Comptroller - Attn: [REDACTED]		STATINTL
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		

Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

REMARKS

Dave,

Attached is a copy of Bruce's MFR concerning follow-on tasks to the meeting held with the Admiral on SAFE. Don Wortman's comment upon reading the memo was:

"Note last paragraph. Everything has a price."

It seems to me that whatever requirements come out of the [REDACTED] discussions noted in paragraph 1.a of Bruce's memo should come to the Comptroller as an NFAC requirement rather than a DDA requirement. Do you agree?

(over)

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)	Room No.—Bldg.
[REDACTED]	7C18 Hqs
Chief, Management Staff, DDA	Phone No.
	5226

5041-102

☆ U.S.GPO:1978-0-261-647-3354

OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)
Prescribed by GSA
FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

THERE IS
NO FREE LUNCH

STATINTL

STATINTL

ILLEGIB

1325

[REDACTED]

4

ODP 0-501
18 April 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

FROM: Bruce T. Johnson
Director of Data ProcessingSUBJECT: Results of Joint STAP/ODP/OCR Meeting on SAFE
Options, 16 April 1980

STATINTL

1. On 16 April 1980, the Directors of OCR and ODP met with Dr. [REDACTED] of the Science and Technology Advisory Panel (STAP) to discuss follow-on actions to be taken as a result of the 10 April meeting with the DCI on STAP's recommendations for the SAFE project. Staff personnel from the two offices, from STAP, and from the Science and Technology Intelligence Committee (STIC) were also present. The discussion resulted in agreement in the following steps:

STATINTL

a. Dr. [REDACTED] will meet with Mr. [REDACTED] STATINTL of OCR/SAS and a representative from the Consolidated SAFE Project Officer (CSPO) on Tuesday, 22 April. They will review the experimental work being done in the OCR Operating Procedures Development Laboratory (OPDL) and sketch out additional approaches to the measurement of human factors which may not be covered by OPDL's current work. Interim SAFE will be reviewed to determine how it would need to be modified to contribute to the process of studying the human factors and usage patterns relevant to the development of a system like SAFE. The results of this review will be evaluated by OCR and ODP to determine the feasibility of making the proposed changes.

STATINTL
STATINTL

b. Dr. [REDACTED] will meet with Mr. [REDACTED] STATINTL [REDACTED], Director of the Information Resources Office (IRO) of the Community Resources Management Staff to discuss the STAP recommendations regarding actions to develop a Community-wide data communications system. (Shortly after the meeting I called Mr. [REDACTED] to STATINTL advise him of this. He was delighted and said he plans to call Dr. [REDACTED] to set up the meeting.) In the course of the discussion, recent IRO and Information Handling Committee (IHC) initiatives were outlined, including progress on the so-called Community RECON

STATINTL

system and the issuance of an RFP for a study of Intelligence Community telecommunications.

STATINTL
STATINTL

c. Dr. [REDACTED] in coordination with Mr. [REDACTED] D/CSPO, will set up visits by ODP and OCR personnel to organizations or installations where systems with SAFE-like characteristics may be observed and studied. TINTL

STATINTL

d. The question of a charter for a proposed Advisory Counsel on Technology (ACT) was deferred, but is to be discussed in the near future between Dr. [REDACTED]. It was agreed that the charter would specify that ACT would have no line responsibilities but be advisory to the line managers responsible for developing SAFE.

e. Plans to invite the STAP to send representatives to the quarterly SAFE Steering Committee meetings were confirmed.

2. During the meeting the resources needed for expansion of efforts to study human factors and for modifying Interim SAFE were frequently alluded to, and emphasis was placed on the need for people as well as dollars. The STAP suggested the use of rehired annuitants to help with the study of the ways in which analytical methods may be changed by the availability of computer services. Although it was not specifically addressed, it seemed implicit that the discussions described in para. 1. a. above should result, among other things, in a joint statement of resource needs which can be submitted to the Comptroller for his consideration.

[REDACTED] STATINTL

Bruce/T. Johnson

cc: D/OCR
D/CSPO
STAP (via STIC)
DDA